

CALL TO ORDER The Regular meeting of the Seaside City Council was called to order at 7:00 PM by Mayor Barber.

ROLL CALL Present: Mayor Jay Barber; Council President Tita Montero; Councilors Randy Frank, Seth Morrisey, Tom Horning, Steve Wright, and Dana Phillips.

Absent: None

Also Present: Mark Winstanley, City Manager; Dan Van Thiel, City Attorney, Dale McDowell, Public Works Director; Kevin Cupples, Planning Director; Russ Vandenberg, Convention Center & Visitors Bureau General Manager; Dave Ham, Seaside Police Chief; and RJ Marx, Daily Astorian/Seaside Signal.

AGENDA Mayor Barber stated he would entertain a motion to approve the agenda.

Councilor Frank so moved with a second from Councilor Horning; carried unanimously. (Frank/Horning)

PROCLAMATION Councilor Horning read the Proclamation for The Great Oregon Shakeout Day.

PROCLAMATION Councilor Frank read the Proclamation for First Responder Appreciation Week.

COMMENTS – PUBLIC John Dunzer, 2964 Keepsake Drive, Seaside, stated he would like to talk about the redevelopment plan since it was not on the agenda tonight. Mr. Dunzer was somewhat interested in reading the DLCD letter to the Council and to the Planning Commission that said basically: The department strongly supports the goal of the City to reduce safety risk from a Tsunami and is an integral part of achieving the goal the City should commit to appropriate limitations on the redevelopment of sites. Mr. Dunzer further stated he did not understand that is what that is supposed to do. The development was supposed to be increased and the value would increase. The State is basically saying huh and that was one of the reasons Mr. Dunzer brought that up and it was a ridiculous plan and is not going to work. Mr. Dunzer further stated he read the wonderful comments from the School District Consultant stating the Broadway Bridge over Neawanna Creek is likely to collapse in a Cascadia Earthquake and those were quotes from the consultant. Mr. Dunzer further stated the City appears to not agree since that bridge is not even part of the redevelopment program to get the bridge fixed. The people of the City deserve to know is the Broadway Bridge over Neawanna Creek gonna collapse or isn't going to collapse. The school says it's going to collapse and the city is saying we aren't going to bother to fix it. Why can't we get somebody out there to look at the bridge and see what it is designed for and the capability because it is a key bridge for Tsunami evacuation. That bridge is more important than any other bridge in the city.

Councilor Horning stated he did not know why the consultant says that because that is a brand new bridge and built fifteen years ago and designed to handle the earthquake. Councilor Horning further state it was an error in the report.

Kay Foetisch, 1920 Cooper Street, Seaside, stated she was a great supporter of the school district and she had coffee meetings at her house over the school bond. Ms. Foetisch further stated she did not have any problems with the ordinances on the agenda. The neighbors would like to work with the school district as the next steps move along. Ms. Foetisch asked the City Council to suggest, ask, or recommend that the neighbors in that area can be a part of an advisory committee to include us as part of their citizen participation. Ms. Foetisch further stated she has talked with at least a dozen people on Cooper Street and they were all in support and some of them said they could serve or send a representative.

Council President Montero asked if any of the people in the neighborhood approached the school district about this same issue.

Ms. Foetisch stated she does not know if they have or not but there are several that have attended some of the meetings in regards to it and one neighbor is on a committee and up to date on the plans.

Mayor Barber stated he thought it was a great suggestion and would personally pass that information on.

Councilor Phillips stated there was a Citizens Advisory Group and she would pass that information on.

CONFLICT Mayor Barber asked whether any Councilor wished to declare a conflict of interest.

No one declared a conflict of interest.

CONSENT AGENDA

Mayor Barber asked for a motion to approve the consent agenda.

Consent Agenda: Payment of the Bills - \$177,854.68; and Approval of Minutes September 11, 2017.

Council President Montero moved to approve the consent agenda with a second from Councilor Morrisey; carried unanimously. (Montero/Morrisey)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

**VACANCY –
BUDGET COMMITTEE**

Mayor Barber stated there was one vacancy on the Budget Committee with two applications received from John Dunzer, and George Stacey. Mayor Barber further stated Council would hold the vacancy to the Budget Committee open and make an appointment at the October 9, 2017, City Council meeting.

NEW BUSINESS:

PUBLIC HEARING

This was the duly advertised time and place to hold a public hearing regarding an Ordinance of the City of Seaside, Oregon, Amending the Comprehensive Plan, Code of Seaside, Ordinance Chapter 151, for the Seaside School District #10 Property.

ORDINANCE 2017-11

ORDINANCE 2017-11 – AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SEASIDE, OREGON, AMENDING THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, CODE OF SEASIDE ORDINANCE-, CHAPTER 151, FOR THE SEASIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT #10 PROPERTY

Kevin Cupples, Planning Director, stated on September 11, 2017, the City Council approved the Seaside School District's proposed amendment package (Comprehensive Plan & Zone Code Amendment 17-047CPA&ZCA and Zone Map Amendment 17-048ZMA) based on the Planning Commission's recommendation and the District's submitted information. The ordinance necessary to complete the Comprehensive Plan Amendment is attached Ordinance 2017-11. Mr. Cupples further stated the Final Recommended City Council Action: After hearing public comments on the proposed ordinance and considering any necessary changes, make a motion that Ordinance 2017-11 be read by "by title only. Following the first reading, make a motion that Ordinance 2017-11 be read for a second time "by title only". The third reading and final adoption would then take place during the next council meeting scheduled on October 9, 2017.

Mayor Barber opened the public hearing.

Mr. Dunzer stated he was here two weeks ago and was the one person that talked negatively about the school district and he voted for the bond and has never voted against a school bond. This is the Urban Growth Boundary and the concept is wrong. Mr. Dunzer presented Council with some comments and further stated he would not go through all the comments but he intended to go to LUBA on this for whatever that is worth and he has to put in all his stuff. Written Testimony Submitted by John Dunzer - Subject: Zoning and General Plan Changes for the Council Approved Urban Growth Boundary Expansion for Schools. NOTE: I ask that these comments be entered into the minutes of the meeting. It is my opinion that the urban growth boundary expansion approved by the Seaside City Council at its Sept 11th meeting did not meet the requirements of Oregon Planning Goal 14 "URBANIZATION" as follows: "Prior to expanding an urban boundary, local governments shall demonstrate that needs cannot be reasonably be accommodated on land already inside the urban growth boundary". Therefore these general plan amendments and zoning changes are not appropriate. I have reviewed the first 66 pages of a submittal provided by the Seaside School District to your group as justification for expanding the urban growth boundary of Seaside. As stated in the submittal, Page 24, the purpose of the growth boundary expansion is "to carry out the voter's intent by planning, annexing, and zoning land to allow construction of a new school campus on relatively stable land outside the tsunami inundation zone- adjacent to Seaside Heights Elementary School". That is not a legal justification under Oregon Law to expand an urban growth boundary. The application contains major errors in key facts that make this submittal and its proposed plan obsolete and the election results problematical. In my estimation the top ten errors are as follows: The first error relates to the risk of collapse of Broadway Middle School. The application on page 19 reiterates school district information that this structure was rated as having a "high risk of collapse" in a Cascadia sized earthquake by a DOGAMI 2007 study. The DOGAMI study, see attached sheet, rated this school structure as having a "low risk" of collapse. A subsequent seismic study of this school in 2013 by Willamette ESD, see attached sheet, rated only the boy's gym as having been "downgraded". The Broadway School with the exception of the Boy's gym is rated as having a "low risk of collapse. The categorization by the school submittal that the entire school "has a high risk" is a misstatement and is totally untrue. The second error relates to the tsunami safety of the Broadway Middle School.

The State of Oregon in Senate Bill 379 made it clear that school relocation is only necessary if the students cannot successfully evacuate the school to a safe ground elevation in the 15-20 minutes between a Cascadia earthquake and the start of any resultant tsunami "restrictions apply only where evacuation from tsunamis is not viable". The location of Broadway Middle School is only 650 yards to the west of a designated Tsunami evacuation site. The statement by the school district that Broadway School must be moved out of the tsunami inundation zone is a misstatement and is totally untrue. The third error relates to the need for 50 acres of additional land to be added to the existing 50 acre district owned Seaside Heights site for additional school construction. This application proposes that some additional school construction will be done on the existing Seaside Heights site. Although not specified in this application it is assumed that this additional construction will be to add capacity to the existing elementary school. Present district enrollment, per the report, is 1545 students. Enrollment in Seaside schools has been declining over the past 5 years. Portland State University has released its preliminary population estimates for Clatsop County and its subareas which the State has mandated must be used by public agencies for their future planning. These population estimates predict that the Seaside School District will have minimal population growth over the next 50 years and that the age distribution will skew toward more older residents with fewer school age children. In addition, declining enrollment will also be accelerated by the opening by the district of its charter school in Cannon Beach. The need for facilities (12 million dollars cost) for an additional 145 additional students at the architect's projected average of \$83,000/student is totally unsupported. The pursuit of the expanded school facilities for a nonexistent increased future school enrollment is a total violation of State policy that public agency planning should use Portland State population projections. The fourth error is the continued insistence, by the City and the School District, that there is not a 50 (the consultant's figure is 28) acre undeveloped piece of property within the existing urban growth boundary of the city that is accessible to existing roadways and utilities and out of the tsunami inundation area. Clearly on the bottom of Figure 12 there is an undeveloped parcel of over 120 acres. This parcel, southwest of Seaside's Cove Estates subdivision, is within the growth boundary, zoned for Medium Density Residential (R-2), and meets the stated roadway and utility criteria and is above the tsunami inundation zone. Secondary road access is available from an extension of Rippet Road. The city and school district statement is not true. The fifth error is the decision by the school board to not document its consideration of the viable alternative to construct additional school facilities over Seaside Elementary existing level parking lot. There is room to construct a new 65,000 sq. ft. single level elevated school which would accommodate 500 middle school students plus parking, sports facilities and play areas. This second school could easily be built on the existing 50 acre school site. Structurally an open ground level parking structure with a school use area above the parking is a FEMA recommended design for tsunami areas to meet seismic and tsunami codes. It would also meet the needs of having a nearby tsunami evacuation structure Seaside Heights students in the event that the recent water friction calculations prove to be inaccurate. The school board was aware of this possibly yet any discussion of this alternative is totally missing from the school district submission. The sixth error is the assumption that the athletic fields for Seaside High have to be essentially duplicated at the new school site from those that the district presently uses at Broadway Park. The duplication of these facilities is unnecessary and the district themselves proposed in the 2016 bond issue that the school district would continue to use the Broadway park facilities. The concept of having 2 football/soccer/baseball fields in a city of 6,000 is not rational. The seventh error is the elimination of the best alternative for a new high school campus which is the Broadway Park school site. As previously discussed, State regulations and studies show that the existing Broadway school site and structure is suitable from a seismic and tsunami perspective. This existing school facility meets the building size and parking needs for a 450 student high school. It would need to modernize with a new boy's gym, science laboratories, and new mechanical, window and roofing systems installed. This would cost only 2530% of the district's proposal to build a totally new high school. As a major plus, the Broadway site is directly adjacent to the football/soccer/baseball fields that it uses as well as the aquatic facilities of the Sunset Recreational District. Broadway Park linked to the upgraded school would make a magnificent high school campus. From a traffic impact point of view, the Broadway site with a signalized access directly to HWY 101 would be a much safer and more functional traffic solution for students, faculty, and the community. With the District's proposed plan, high school traffic is directly injected into a residential area and then is required to access Wahanna. a rural road. Wahanna has a history of unsafe traffic speeding and has inadequate right of way for roadway bike, and pedestrian improvements. In addition there would be less impact on the utility upgrades that will be necessary in the Seaside Height school area under the District's plan. The City will be required to establish a multi-million dollar completely new high elevation reservoir and pay for the pumping of water up to the new reservoir which would only be required to support the district's school siting selection. Each year water users would see a major 20% increase in their water bills to support this misguided school siting decision. The eighth error is the lack of involvement of the public in redefining the school project between the 2013 and 2016 bond election. The school district held a number of public meetings prior to the unsuccessful 2013 school bond election. The only advertised public meeting that the school district held prior to the 2016 bond election was 2 weeks before the second election. The City has never held a public meeting or gathered any public comment of any kind prior to endorsing the school bond. The ninth error is the lack of preparation by the School District of the required cost estimates for this project. Today, 9 months after the bond election stating a need for 99.7 million dollars, the conceptual site plan has been radically changed to completely reconfigure and relocate the major elements of the project.

The district has only, after specific public requests, released a preliminary 1 page cost estimate that they note is described as a rough estimate. The district's own policy entitled "Capital Construction Program" states that "before such a bond election careful estimates will be made as to the amounts required for construction". The tenth error is the lack of discussion and analysis of the "environmental rape" of this 80 acre parcel of forest. To access and develop this parcel as a practical site for two new schools will require millions of cubic feet of hillside to be graded. This massive earth movement will occur right between two "protected" streams. If such a proposal were made by a non-governmental entity they would be laughed right out of the County. I ask the Seaside City Council to reject this proposal. My analysis has shown that there are much better solutions to provide modern safe schools for the community which will not require increasing the urban boundaries of the city. This project siting is requiring the citizen's in this school district to spend an extra 40 million dollars above what is required to provide safe functional schools. The 40 million dollars could save hundreds of lives (including children at home) by funding seismic upgrades of area bridges which will be necessary in the event of a very likely Cascadia Event.

There were no more public comments, and Mayor Barber closed the public hearing.

Mayor Barber asked for Council comments, there were no Council comments.

Mayor Barber stated he would entertain a motion for reading by title only.

Councilor Frank so moved to read by title only Ordinance 2017-11 with a second from Councilor Phillips; carried unanimously. (Frank/Phillips)

Mayor Barber asked for a motion for second reading by title only.

Councilor Frank so moved for a second reading by title only Ordinance 2017-11 with a second from Councilor Phillips; carried unanimously. (Frank/Phillips)

Mayor Barber stated the next Council meeting would be the third and final and motion to adopt.

PUBLIC HEARING

This was the duly advertised time and place to hold a public hearing regarding an Ordinance of the City of Seaside, Oregon, Amending the Zoning Ordinance Text and Zoning Map, Code of Seaside Ordinance Chapter 158, for the Seaside School District #10 Property.

ORDINANCE 2017-12

ORDINANCE 2017-12 – AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SEASIDE, OREGON, AMENDING THE ZONING ORDINANCE TEXT AND ZONING MAP, CODE OF SEASIDE ORDINANCE CHAPTER 158, FOR THE SEASIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT #10 PROPERTY

Mr. Cupples stated On September 11, 2017, the City Council approved the Seaside School District's proposed amendment package Comprehensive Plan & Zone Code Amendment 17-047CPA&ZCA and Zone Map Amendment 17-048ZMA based on the Planning Commission's recommendation and the District's submitted information. The ordinance necessary to complete the Zone Code & Zone Map Amendments is attached Ordinance 2017-12. Mr. Cupples further stated the Final Recommended City Council Action: After hearing public comments on the proposed ordinance and considering any necessary changes, make a motion that Ordinance 2017-12 be read by "by title only. Following the first reading, make a motion that Ordinance 2017-12 be read for a second time "by title only". The third reading and final adoption would then take place during the next council meeting scheduled on October 9, 2017.

Mayor Barber opened the public hearing, there were no public comments, and Mayor Barber closed the public hearing.

Mayor Barber asked for Council comments, there were no Council comments.

Mayor Barber stated he would entertain a motion for reading by title only.

Councilor Morrisey so moved to read Ordinance 2017-12 by title only with a second from Councilor Frank; carried unanimously. (Morrisey/Frank)

Mayor Barber asked for a motion for second reading by title only.

Councilor Morrisey so moved to read Ordinance 2017-12 by title only with a second from Councilor Frank; carried unanimously. (Morrisey/Frank)

Mayor Barber stated the next Council meeting would be the third and final and motion to adopt.

**DISCUSSION -
PARTIAL STREET
VACATION REQUEST**

Mr. Cupples stated this was a preliminary request and staff has been approached by a local property owner concerning the potential request for a street vacation. Staff & Mr. Van Thiel generally discourage such requests because giving up public right-of-way can lead to future regrets if there is an unanticipated need to use it in the future.

That said the property owner in question is interested in vacating a portion of the abutting street right of way in order to increase his square footage enough to potentially build duplexes on the property in the future. Given the extremely low availability of monthly rental housing, staff thought this proposal might warrant some additional consideration. Street Vacation requests involve a lot of work on the part of the applicant before they can even submit an application. It involves going door to door collecting notarized signatures from people that may be 400 feet away from the proposed vacation site and that often leads to confusion & mistrust. Since the Council is the body that makes the decision whether or not they even want to hold a public hearing to review the vacation on its merits, the applicant could complete the application and then find out the Council has no interest in considering the matter, period. To avoid wasting the applicant's time & money staff would like to know if the Council would be willing to hear the vacation request if the applicant collects all the signatures necessary to submit an application. This in no way is intended to indicate the Council's willingness to ultimately approve a request, but rather a determination if it would even be considered. Although this is not the time to formally discuss the merits of any future request. The property owner's pre-application information was presented in the Council packet.

Brian DeHaas letter stated: Please consider our request to begin the process of vacating the South 10' portion of the 13th avenue Right-of-Way along the property at 711, 13th Ave. (Approximately 175' by 10'). The following is a list of information about the 3-parcel property at the corner of North Holladay and 13th avenue, and the positive aspects of vacating this Right-of-Way: Vacation of a portion of this Right-of-Way increases the potential to add duplex rental units to the area. The City of Seaside has a shortage of long-term rental density and has been investigating ways to gain more. The downtown core area, outlet mall, grocery shopping, and beach access, are all within walking distance. Current zoning allows for duplex housing. The Right-of-Way of 13th avenue is currently 50' wide. (10' wider than any of the surrounding streets). The Right-of-Way widths of streets in the surrounding area are 40', including one of the highest volume thoroughfares in the area (N. Holladay). N. Holladay, 12th, 14th 15th, 16th, 17th avenues etc. are all 40' Rights-of-Way. Early planning by the City may have projected 13th avenue to be a major thoroughfare, but that never materialized, and a 50' Right-of-Way and is no longer needed. All 13th avenue Right-of-Way between North Holladay and the Necanicum River has been vacated. 13th avenue is currently a dead-end street. ODOT would surely discourage future access to Highway 101 from this street. 13th avenue was constructed almost 6 feet north of where it should be, adding additional unused Right-of-Way that must be continuously and unwantedly maintained by this property owner. Mr. DeHaas further stated they understand the Council has discouraged requests to vacate Right-of-Way in the past, but feel this is a legitimate request which will benefit the City of Seaside.

Mayor Barber asked what the Council's perspective was on this. Mayor Barber asked if Council drove by to look at the location.

Councilor Wright stated he did not think Council should just say no on something if it was at least a reasonable request and he would need to hear a little more about the vacation to make a decision. Part of the background of this particular case fits one of the Council goals to increase housing and if a duplex or multi family unit could be built they needed this. Councilor Wright asked Mr. Cupples if this could be handled by a variance to the Planning Commission.

Mr. Cupples stated there would be a way that someone could apply for a variance in order to say for the land division they would create 6,000 square foot lots and provide duplexes on those lots you would start running awry. Variance criteria was very tough to support and rather than send the applicant down that kind of path based on the situation in this particular case he thought it would be a better case to look at the street vacation.

Councilor Wright stated Mr. Cupples stated the applicants had to get notarized signatures was that a vote of the neighbors.

Mr. Cupples stated there was a percentage under statute that says within an affected area the applicant had to bring in a certain number of individuals. There needs to be one hundred percent of the abutting property owner's signatures and a certain percentage inside the affected area. Mr. Cupples further stated in the past we have talked to people and told them Council may want one hundred percent of the property owners.

Councilor Frank asked Mr. Cupples if this was at the end of a dead end street, correct.

Mr. Cupples stated it was actually a street that does not go through on either end and one end is closed off simply because it runs into the highway and it's never been opened up. The other end of the street on Holladay it doesn't go through to the river.

Mr. Winstanley stated 13th Avenue used to go through to the highway and the city made the choice to close it off and his guess is the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) would not be interested in it reopening again but in the past it was an open street to the highway and the city chose to close it down.

Council President Montero stated she doesn't understand about the river.

Mr. Cupples stated it does not go through Holladay to the river.

Council President Montero stated what Council was looking at is the east side of Holladay. Council President Montero further stated something she noticed in the drawings the lanes were fifteen feet wide and this proposed to make the lanes fourteen feet wide.

Mr. Cupples stated that was information brought in by the applicant just to talk about part of their reason to merit review.

Council President Montero stated the fifteen feet wide lanes are a travel lane with a bike lane and fourteen feet wide has no bike lane.

Mr. Cupples stated he did not pull out the TSP and do a comparison with that information.

Council President Montero stated Council has a goal to increase affordable housing and what she sees in the picture was three family dwellings, does that mean it would be three duplexes instead.

Mr. Cupples stated that is the concept they were discussing. Mr. Cupples further stated he is trying to not get too far into the merits of the case.

Councilor Phillips stated she was willing to listen.

Mayor Barber stated his sense is that Council was willing to hear the proposal and Council will listen to that and hear from the neighbors.

Council President Montero stated when she compares it to our previous look to the request for vacating it came from a totally different perspective so she was willing to listen.

Mayor Barber asked Dan Van Thiel if Council was in line to listen to the request.

Dan Van Thiel, City Attorney, stated certainly Council has the right and this is a preliminary run to see because everyone knows what he will say but the Council is the policy makers and that was not his responsibility. This may meet the Council goal with affordable housing and he is always concerned that it sets precedence and are other people going to want five foot pieces and ten foot pieces throughout the city. The City was in a different perspective and he was always concerned about the future and utilizing properties.

Councilor Morrissey stated he was all for affordable housing but feel the same as Mr. Van Thiel in regards to not wanting to set a precedence that we are giving away city property but would be open to hearing the information.

Mr. Winstanley stated there is one notable difference over previous vacations that have come before the Council. In this case 13th Avenue will be preserved and other vacations the Council was looking at were taking portions of the street. In this case 13th Avenue would still function as a normal city street.

**COMMENTS –
CITY STAFF
AND OTHERS**

Russ Vandenberg, Convention Center & Visitors Bureau General Manager, the Northwest Playing Management group was in the building this week.

Dave Ham, Seaside Police Chief, thanked the City Council for the Proclamation for First Responders. Chief Ham stated at the 6:00 PM briefing tonight there were letters of recognition presented to four Seaside Police Officers for an event that happened in late August, 2017. The recognition letters were presented to Sergeant Korpela, Officer Brown, Officer Tappert, and Officer Parkman. Chief Ham further discussed the training courses the officers have been going through. Chief Ham announced he represents the Seaside Police Department on the County Domestic Violence Council and there was a 5K Walk and Run the Harbor is putting on October 7, 2017.

Dale McDowell, Public Works Director, stated summer was closing out so the lifeguard tower was taken down today. The Airport Committee hosted their fly in BBQ on Sunday.

Brian Owen, Chamber of Commerce Director, stated the Chamber of Commerce was working on a new website. Mr. Owen would be in Longbeach next week which may help to bring in more high end athletes for the Seaside Volleyball Event.

COMMENTS – COUNCIL

Mayor Barber stated the City Council and the City Manager will be attending the League of Oregon Cities Conference in Portland on Thursday through Saturday. Mayor Barber further stated several of the Council will be out of town for the City Council meeting October 23, 2017. Mayor Barber asked for a show of hands of those who would be here for October 23, 2107. Councilor Horning, Councilor Wright, and Councilor Morrissey would be attending which was not a quorum.

Mr. Winstanley asked for a motion to cancel the meeting.

Councilor Frank moved to cancel the City Council meeting October 23, 2017 with a second from Councilor Morrissey; carried unanimously. (Frank/Morrissey)

Mayor Barber stated he asked Councilor Morrissey to serve as a representative for the County wide task force for a housing study in Clatsop County.

Councilor Horning stated congratulations on the Proclamation for the Great Oregon Shakeout. One that can never be overlooked.

Councilor Frank stated the Airport Committee fly in and BBQ was well attended and was a beautiful day. Councilor Frank further stated he would be taking time away until November, 2017.

Councilor Phillips stated at the Convention Center Commission meeting Jon Rahl presented some thirty second clips of Seaside and she would like the Council to see the clips.

Councilor Wright stated he attended the Airport BBQ and took a tour of the Airport. Councilor Wright would be helping with the interviewing process for Police Reserve's on Wednesday. Councilor Wright further stated the Transportation Advisory Commission was starting to view the Transportation System Plan and the meetings are the third Thursday of each month and one of the goals was to focus on what was needed to be done for the schools.

Councilor Morrissey stated he was looking forward to learning about local government this week.

Council President Montero stated she was looking forward to the League of Oregon Cities this week.

Mayor Barber stated the Airport Committee really grew out of that vision and it was a very impressive airport. The Parks Committee and others happen because of volunteers. Mayor Barber further stated he would quote author Ian Knabel: "The only time you should ever look back is to see how far you've come".

ADJOURNMENT

The regular meeting adjourned at 7:46 PM.

Kim Jordan, Secretary

JAY BARBER, MAYOR