

- CALL TO ORDER** The Regular meeting of the Seaside City Council was called to order at 7:00 PM by Mayor Don Larson.
- ATTENDANCE** Present: Mayor Don Larson, Council President Tim Tolan, , Councilors Stubby Lyons, Gary Diebolt, Larry Haller, Don Johnson, and Dave Moore.
- Absent: None
- Also Present: Mark Winstanley, City Manager; Dan Van Thiel, City Attorney; Neal Wallace, Public Works Director; Kevin Cupples, Planning Director; Bob Gross, Police Chief; Russ Vandenberg, Convention Center General Manager; Reita Fackerell, Library Director; Al Peinhardt, Finance Director; Cody Forsythe, Student Council Representative; Donald Allison, Seaside Signal; Jeff Nelson, KAST.
- AGENDA** Mayor Larson stated agenda item (6) swearing in Police Officer, Gary Welborn, would be postponed until the February 23, 2009 Council meeting.
- Motion to approve the February 9, 2009, agenda; carried unanimously. (Haller/Lyons)
- MINUTES** Motion to approve the January 26, 2009, minutes; carried unanimously. (Tolan/Lyons)
- CONFLICT** Mayor Larson asked whether any Councilor wished to declare a conflict of interest.
- No one declared a conflict of interest.
- CONSENT AGENDA** Motion to approve payment of the bills in the amount of \$590,604.70; carried unanimously. (Lyons/Tolan)
- ORDINANCE #2009-01** AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SEASIDE, OREGON, ADDING CHAPTER 101 TO THE CODE OF SEASIDE, IDENTIFYING INADEQUATE PROPERTY MAINTENANCE AS A NUISANCE AND ESTABLISHING ABATEMENT PROCEDURES.
- Mayor Larson stated he wanted to give the public some background information on the ordinance. The ordinance originated ten to twelve years ago when Councilor Johnson and the Mayor were on the Planning Commission there had been a visioning claim for the City. After several years the visioning was finished and dropped and was not picked up after that. Mayor Larson further stated since he had been elected as Mayor the word was to clean up Seaside. Approximately a year and a half ago through City Council and Planning Commission workshops the question was asked again “what do we want Seaside to look like in twenty years”. Councilor Diebolt and Commissioner Hubbard volunteered to form a 20/20 Visioning Committee. Approximately fifty people volunteered to be on the Visioning Committee. There were surveys sent out to the community and two hundred and seventy completed questionnaires were returned and ninety percent stated the City needed to be cleaned up. The final report for the visioning would be given in April, 2009. Mayor Larson further stated the history of the ordinance did not start yesterday but started quite a few years ago. There were many thoughts and time that had been put into the ordinance by Council and staff and there had been many months presenting and refining the ordinance which would serve the best interest to all in Seaside. The community would benefit from the ordinance and should be proud of it. Would the ordinance take a while, absolutely? Would the ordinance hurt, we hope not, because that was not what Council was trying to do. Mayor Larson further stated he liked the article in the Daily Astorian by a lady from Arch Cape who stated “the ordinance was a good idea, people would work together, and people would support each other”. Mayor Larson further stated at the last City Council meeting several people had suggested that there may be positive things that would come out of the ordinance, maybe grants or Pacifica Projects by the students at Seaside High School. There were people helping people last May, 2008, when Seaside had a clean up day. Mayor Larson further stated he had received negative calls and letters and positive calls and letters. The public was really split and divided on the ordinance. Mayor Larson further stated there were some very serious mistaken thoughts about the ordinance in the City. There were not any properties already identified under the ordinance, there would be gardens allowed on properties, no properties had been singled out, properties would not just be demolished without going through a review board and then the City Council for an appeal, the seven hundred dollar a day fine would be a last resort which would have to be dealt and handed out by the judge not the City Council or City staff. Mayor Larson further stated there were statements in the local paper that stated the upkeep ordinance was the Mayors idea and staff helped with the input for the ordinance. That was not the entire story because the information had been explained many times before about how the ordinance came to be. Mayor Larson asked the public to limit their speaking time to three minutes and all people would be called on whether pro or con.
- Mayor Larson asked Council to amend the ordinance and add an effective date.
- Motion to amend the ordinance to add an effective date of July 1, 2009; carried unanimously. (Tolan/Lyons)
- Mayor Larson called for public comments.

Russell Webber, 501 S. Holladay, Seaside, thanked the Mayor and Council for the countless hours, personal sacrifice and all the efforts put into the ordinance on the communities behalf. Mr. Webber stated he was grateful and knew their jobs were thankless. Mr. Webber further stated he studied the proposed upkeep ordinance long and hard and would like to be able to say job well done because Council had invested much time and energy into the ordinance. Mr. Webber further stated the only thing that was right about the ordinance was the goal for making the City more attractive was a worthy one. Many people had acknowledged that the ordinance as written was less than perfect but insisted it was necessary for a first step towards the goal. Mr. Webber further stated there were many more positive and ultimately more effective things the City could do towards the goal. The ordinance as proposed was negative and mean spirited and would not foster a sense of community, would prove very dismissive, costly, and destructive to the community and should only be in-acted as a last resort of desperation rather than a first step. More positive things that would build a sense of community needed to be attempted before resorting to a law that relies on enforcement by criminal sanctions and punishment. The City Council had missed the mark on the issue because the ordinance would pit neighbor against neighbor. There were many very good honest hard working people in the City who were caring and compassionate and were supportive of one another. Mr. Webber further stated Council had spent so much time and energy trying to perfect the ordinance that Council lost sight of the goal and had become so attached preserving the ordinance as a means that it had become more important than searching for alternatives that would be more positive and less destructive ways to improve the City. Mr. Webber urged Council to carefully consider everything that was being said and to unanimously vote no on the ordinance.

Reta Leithner, 2415 Oregon Avenue, Seaside, stated she was born and raised in Seaside and every once in a while the City would come up with a new ordinance that people did not agree with. The ordinance addressed the unkempt, unsightly, unsafe, unsanitary, and otherwise improperly maintained premises and structures in Seaside which were currently privately owned and not already covered/addressed by the existing nuisance ordinance, Chapter 96. The ordinance was a matter of subjective opinion, not objective because everyone had an opinion often not exactly as their neighbors. Ms. Leithner further stated the seeking of lawful means to promote the sound maintenance of properties to enhance livability was a lofty sounding goal. The ordinance would be creating yet another layer of bureaucracy for additional expense for additional personnel to administer and enforce complaints in addition to the pay to an existing salary for the additional job description verbiage of duties. Ms. Leithner further stated the proposal was invasive upon the very idea of private property ownership rights. Ms. Leithner proposed Council demonstrate a pro-active atmosphere of voluntary assistance for the citizens by implementing the creation of a committee to act in the position of overseer for the purpose of cleaning and uplifting Seaside's face. Funds could be made available for the removal of debris as the volunteers under the umbrella of the committee saw the need. The removal could be implemented by the use of voluntary assistance from citizens through Seaside High School Pacifica Project students, Kiwanis, Rotary, and various church groups. There would be a more positive impact upon the City of Seaside citizens and visitors alike then entering into a proposal which was so subjective as to depend upon opinions of one or three people. Mr. Leithner further stated she did not think the ordinance was neither wise nor fiscally responsible to attempt to legislate pride of ownership or good taste.

Merlin Humpal, 2481 Oregon Avenue, Seaside, stated he was in agreement with the general idea and previously he had stated there were three things that should be taken into consideration and Council might think they were frivolous. Mr. Humpal further stated he was passionate about those three things. Would the ordinance give fines to the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) because of blackberry bushes and scotch broom that was unkempt? Trucks dump dirt in areas and leave it which was unsightly. Council should step back and take more time with the ordinance.

Gerry Bowdish, 630 25th Avenue, Seaside, stated she was born and raised in Seaside and moved away and came back two years ago. Ms. Bowdish complimented the Council for the hard work on the ordinance and who could disagree with what Mayor Larson spoke about? The ordinance should be reviewed because people wanted their neighborhood cleaned up for the purpose of preserving the property value. Everyone had some unsightly, degrading neighborhood and would love to have it cleaned up. When an ordinance like this was established you give room for peoples definitions as to what was pretty, enhancing, and economically enhancing but there were too many issues in the ordinance that said social benefits. Ms. Bowdish further stated there were no disagreements on the goals that had been outlined but she also agreed with previous speakers and with leadership there should be better ways of establishing the ordinance.

Dick Bailey, 1707 Spruce Dr., #2, Seaside, stated he was a fairly new resident of Seaside and was previously the Mayor for two years at Shady Cove, Oregon, where there was a similar ordinance passed. Along with the new ordinance the City conducted a City wide clean up. The City worked with the local garbage company and large bins were brought to a location where people could bring their trash and the community was able to clean up the City which worked very well. There was a large amount of trash in the City which needed to be cleaned up. Council was on the right track and maybe the City wide clean up could be conducted for a couple of days out of the year.

Donn Bauske, 322 S. Holladay, Seaside, presented Council with a letter and stated the arguments that he made at a previous Council meeting fell on deaf ears. Council had ordinances that already needed to be looked at because everything was already covered and not enforced. The skate boards were not enforced, bicycles on the prom were not enforced, and dog pooper scooper laws were not enforced. Mr. Bauske further stated Council needed to go back and look at the ordinances that were already passed and look at the letter that was presented to Council which would cover most of the issues and start enforcing the ordinances already on the books.

Marti Weise, 223 15th Avenue, Seaside, stated everyone had a suggestion but people really were not looking at what the ordinance was all about. The ordinance would eliminate a certain population from Seaside. Council would be turning Seaside into Vale, Colorado, and in Vale the help needed to be bused into the City because they could not afford to live there. There were three properties in Seaside which had been low income and those properties were empty for a long time and now are being rented out. People cannot afford to live in Seaside anymore. Mr. Bauske had a very good point because there were ordinances on the books already that needed to be enforced. People would start complaining about others properties if the ordinance was approved. If Council wanted to clean up the City then help people clean up their properties instead of approving a new ordinance. Ms. Weise asked Council to vote no on the ordinance.

Shannon Symonds, 1215 N. Franklin, Seaside, stated everyone was in an economic time and home owners were losing their homes at a record rate. People were being laid off and Council may not have lived in a community where that had happened but it was devastating for people who were losing their homes. As a legacy Seaside did not want an extremely expensive fine on the books and the fine was not appropriate for the problem and was put in place to take homes away. In the ordinance the maximum fine for a class 'A' misdemeanor was approximately \$6,000.00. A home and \$700.00 a day was going to add up to much more than that and much faster. Ms. Symonds further stated that was not charitable or kind. Ms. Symonds had neighbors that were problems and neighbors that were selling drugs and there needed to be another drug enforcement officer in the community. People could contact the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) if there was garbage in yards. Ms. Symonds further stated she did not agree with Mr. Bauske often, but he was right about the ordinance. In the ordinance there was a section that stated the renter could be held as responsible as the owner of the property. Ms. Symonds further stated she did not move into a gated community but moved into a community with pink and purple homes. Council worked very hard for the community but would not be here forever and when something was put on the books there was an understanding but the next Mayor or Council may not like pink and purple houses and what would happen then.

Lisa Lake, 717 Avenue 'R', Seaside, stated she was speaking for her grandmother who had lived in Seaside since 1949 and recently had a stroke. The ordinance was really upsetting because she thinks her home may be taken away. Ms. Lake stated she lived with her grandmother who made \$1,000.00 a month and could not afford to hire someone to clean up or mow her yard and was too old to clean it up herself.

Mayor Larson stated that was the misconception he spoke about earlier.

David Langlo, 1421 N. Wahanna, Seaside, stated he was representing the Wahanna Action Group (WAG). Mr. Langlo further stated the ordinance was very anti-business and Council was targeting small businesses and the people who were the very backbone of the City. The businesses were construction and service people who owned a truck or machinery and the only place to park the vehicle was in their yard. All businesses start out small and built up with time. The ordinance would force people to park their trucks some place else. Mr. Langlo further stated he would not park his vehicles some place else and also would take the construction equipment he owned and park it out in the front yard and force the City to arrest him.

Mayor Larson reminded Mr. Langlo that the City could not touch his property because he lived in the County.

Don Pepin, 1265 Avenue 'D', Seaside, stated he had lived in Seaside for thirty-three years and resented being lied to because his property was on a list that the ordinance was singling out. Mr. Pepin further stated the Mayor spoke about there not being a list but there was most definitely a list because a Seaside Police Officer had told him he was on the list.

Mayor Larson stated that would be from the nuisance ordinance but not from this ordinance.

Mr. Pepin stated his property had been singled out and there was no question that his property needed work. Mr. Pepin further stated for twenty five years he had been dumping stuff on his property as an independent contractor but more than half the property was cleaned up but he needed the money and time to finish because he had no assistance or help. If Council wished to clean up the City of Seaside there needed to be community involvement and the neighbors would help each other and the problems would disappear. The ordinance was dreamland and a Disney cartoon where everything was perfect and that did not exist in the world. The City should encourage clean up during a clean up day once or twice a year to enhance the City.

Debbie Oglesby, 770 Avenue 'S', Seaside, stated Clatsop County had the highest rate of unemployment and of animal and child abuse. Everyone was stressed economically and emotionally and the last thing that was needed was another ordinance.

Ms. Oglesby further stated she had been abused and mistreated by neighbors and the ordinance would open the door for the neighbor to complain about everything. For the last twenty years she had tried to improve her home and raise the property value. Ms. Oglesby further stated she would be willing to implement or coordinate a network of volunteers to help people in need instead of starting new laws that would run them out of their homes. Tourist did not visit Seaside to look at the homes. The ordinance was far too harsh and there should not be a punishment.

Amy Gunn, 1255 Avenue 'E', Seaside, stated she lived in a purple house by choice. The ordinance stated unkempt, unsightly, unsafe, unsanitary, and improperly maintained premises and structures within the City of Seaside that would adversely affect the value, utility, and habitability of property within the City as a whole. Ms. Gunn further stated she was the last house on Avenue 'E' and lived next to the pump station that was maintained by the City. There were two days last month where signs were put up at the end of the street stating the area was contaminated due to solid waste. Mr. Pepin was Ms. Gunn's neighbor and his yard was not as offending as what goes into the river from the pump station. Ms. Gunn further stated she did not know how Council could pass judgment on what was appropriate or inappropriate when there were problems with the City pump station.

Dustin Youso, 1312 N. Holladay, Seaside, stated he generally liked the ordinance because there was a solution with some problems in the City but did not think this version would work. Seaside would be better cleaned up and when a neighbor did not keep a property cleaned up then other properties in the area were devalued. The ordinance needed to be more specific and defining. There needed to be some kind of help for people who were unable to keep their properties maintained. The fines listed on the ordinance were very frightening.

Scott Symonds, 1215 N. Franklin, Seaside, stated there should be more clean up days offered in Seaside which would be very proactive. The ordinance wording could be misconstrued or taken out of context. There were many places in Seaside that needed to be cleaned up and his property had five blatant violations but there was no garage and the back yard was exposed. The ordinance was a good idea but the wording was open to a paradigm shift.

Joel Warner, 1827 S. Roosevelt Dr., Seaside, stated the proposed ordinance was unjust and unfair to the citizens who still suffered from the hurricane fourteen months ago. People needed to have a chance to rebuild and bounce back financially before the City crippled them more. Clatsop County had the third highest unemployment rate in Oregon. People were losing their jobs, businesses, and homes. Mr. Warner further stated what gave Council the right to dictate what was or was not valuable to a person. Let the people of Seaside give their input for the ordinance or vote on it.

Lionel Cloutier, 950 Avenue 'M', Seaside, stated he had lived in Seaside for thirty-six years. Mr. Cloutier read a story on a wind storm and how he would not have the wood on hand in case his house was damaged.

Art Davidson, 722 13th Avenue, Seaside, stated he liked the idea of making the City more beautiful. Cartwright used to be a terrible looking park and Kiwanis and the City worked hard to make the park look better. There were problems with the way the ordinance read because it was vague and the issue of the economy needed to be considered.

Mark Youso, 1312 N. Holladay, Seaside, stated he was in the business of real-estate and property management and between himself and the clients they represented approximately two hundred units and there were most likely properties he managed that needed to be cleaned up and if that happened then the owners would increase the rent which most people could not afford now.

Mike Harrison, 380 Hilltop, Seaside, stated the ordinance was mean spirited and asked if the ordinance apply to the city properties, city buildings, lack of sidewalks, and lack of city streets. Mr. Harrison further stated he was a home inspector and had the knowledge of maintenance issues in Seaside. There were forty-two violations of the ordinance on Sunset Hills alone and there were violations everywhere in town. The nuisance ordinance already handled most of the issues. People had great ideas and Council should consider them. The people should vote for this ordinance because this was a property rights issue. Compassion for other people's problems and concerns would be good.

Mr. Webber stated a City was more than brick and mortar. A City was people and individuals lives that lived in homes. Please listen to the citizens of Seaside and do not pass the ordinance.

There were no other comments from the public and Mayor Larson closed the public comments.

Mayor Larson stated he did not remember an ordinance or anything the City had done that had so much misconception. The ordinance was not about design review and Council had taken input on the ordinance for months. Council did not want Seaside to be like Cannon Beach and were very cautious about certain issues. There had been numerous workshops and input received from people.

Mayor Larson further stated he was extremely happy the public attended the meeting to hear what was said. There was a system to the ordinance and people were not going to just receive a fine.

Councilor Lyons stated there was research that had been done on why some communities worked together so well and others did not. Some communities were able to solve difficult problems because of the style of leadership, placing the good of the community and the accomplishment of the people who agreed over the outcome of personal gain or recognition by individuals. Councilor Lyons asked Mark Winstanley, City Manager, to walk the public through a scenario of the ordinance and someone receiving a ticket.

Mr. Winstanley stated the first time a problem was recognized the Code Enforcement Officer would go out and discuss with the property owner what the problem was and talk about how the owner could rectify the problem and what kind of time schedule would work. The Code Enforcement Office would document that the conversation took place and what kind of action the Code Enforcement Officer was looking for and what kind of commitment was received from the property owner. That would be the end of the first contact that took place. There would not be a ticket issued and for ninety-five percent of the cases that conversation would take care of the problem. Property owners were used to a problem and did not see it as a problem because we see it every day and when the problem was brought to our attention then it can be taken care of. If the problem continued then the Code Enforcement Officer would go back out and make contact once again and instead of getting a commitment there would be a time frame given for the problem to be rectified. If the property owner disagreed there would be an opportunity to ask for a hearing before the three member hearing board and state their case and the Code Enforcement Officer would also attend the hearing to state the case. The board would then consider what the testimony was and decide if the case needed to be addressed or not. The property owner could still appeal the case to the City Council to see if there was an issue. Assuming Council did think there was a violation then the person would be told that the problem needed to be rectified. There still had not been any tickets issued and nobody has gone before the court. If the property owner did not rectify the issue a ticket could be issued for the violation which meant the avenue had now changed and the owner would appear in court and address the issue to the Municipal Court. The judge may agree with the owner and decide there was no violation and the owner was found innocent which would be the end of that case. If the judge decided the issue needed to be taken care of normally the owner would be given a nominal fine or that the problem needed to be rectified and the fine would be waved. The owner would need to continue ignoring the problem for the court to continue levying fines.

Councilor Moore stated he shared many concerns with the ordinance and with what the public shared tonight. The spirit was well intended by the proposers and especially the Mayor, however he believed the spirit may not be seen by future enforcers and residents. Councilor Moore further stated after much consideration he decided to vote no on the ordinance and complimented all of the speakers for the thoughts that were put into their speeches.

Councilor Diebolt stated he chaired the 20/20 Visioning Committee but had not said he was going out to clean up the City. The community asked that the City be cleaned up and that was the general statement that came out of the meetings. That was two hundred and seventy-five people who were involved and found the time to get away and come to the meetings to spend the time to decide what the vision of Seaside was. The people were not directing against personal property only but also business property, City property, and state property. Councilor Diebolt further stated he heard the public speaking about volunteerism and the visioning was a volunteer committee and out of 6000 people there were two hundred and seventy-five people who showed up to volunteer. Councilor Diebolt further stated Seaside had a clean up day for the community and people still did not have the time to bring things down to clean up their properties. People needed to decide what was important to them and tonight was important and the public attended but there had been other meetings and no one attended. Councilor Diebolt further stated he was not able to attend the last Council meeting because of personal reasons and apologized for that. There were a given number of people who did not care and would not clean up their yard, take care of the trash, and take the plywood off the windows and doors. There were economic hard times right now but most of these problems did not start in the last six months but had been building for years. Councilor Diebolt further stated Council needed more time to implement the ideas that had come forth this evening but an ordinance of some type was needed and not just for the tourist but for ourselves because everyone should take pride in the property we owned and the City we lived in.

Councilor Haller thanked the public for attending the meeting to speak and those that came to listen and stated he was very please at some of the comments. There were some very good ideas and the ordinance could be worked on and changed. Councilor Haller further stated he did not like the \$700.00 figure which was too much. The City did not want to take peoples property and the City was not allowed to take property unless in an imminent domain which would be taking the property for public use and the ordinance did not fall into that category. Councilor Haller further stated he was concerned about whether the ordinance should be passed this evening because after listening to the public comments the ordinance needed to be looked at more and the motion should be tabled. A workshop could be scheduled to discuss the issues further.

Councilor Johnson thanked the public for speaking and stated he learned a lot and understood where the public was coming from. Councilor Johnson further stated he had lived in Seaside his entire life and watched the town go from a logging town to a community to be proud of.

Councilor Johnson agreed with Councilor Haller because the purpose and intent of the ordinance was that the town needed to be cleaned up in some areas but maybe Council was looking to partial. There were good comments made from the public and Council needed to take the time to review the ideas and conduct a workshop to review the issues further and continue with the ordinance at a later date.

Councilor President Tolan thanked the public for attending the meeting and many people were prepared to express themselves very well. The public had brought up new suggestions that Council maybe had not considered and the suggestions were worthy to discuss. Council President Tolan further stated he would like to continue the discussion about the ordinance. Council would never receive one hundred percent support from the public but the bottom line was that everyone wanted to make Seaside better and do it in a fair way.

Mayor Larson thanked the public for speaking and was very sincere in trying to make things right for the City. The community should be proud of what Seaside was doing because there was a new skate park and library and the opening up of a four million dollar reservoir. The City was doing infrastructure and the next plan was to redo Holladay Street from 12th Avenue to 24th Avenue. Mayor Larson further stated his disappointment was where had the public been the last couple of years.

Mayor Larson asked the public if Council had a workshop to discuss some of the public's suggestions would the public attend the workshop.

The public stated they would attend the workshop

Motion to table Ordinance 2009-01, until Council selected a date to conduct a workshop; carried unanimously. (Lyons/Johnson)

Council recessed for a break at 8:47 pm,

Council reconvened from the break at 8:57 pm.

**PRESENTATION -
2007-2008 AUDIT**

Mike Croy, DeLap Caldwell and White Auditing Firm, stated he had attended the meeting to discuss the 2008 audit. The City was given a clean bill of health this year with an unmodified audit report for the accounting activities for the year. All the fiscal operations for the City had been looked at and found to be in good standing.

Motion to accept the 2007-2008 Audit; carried unanimously. (Haller/Lyons)

COMMENTS – PUBLIC

Mr. Bauske stated two things came out of the meeting that Council should consider. The first one was that every proposed ordinance should be posted in the Newspaper to be read by the public before the meeting. The second was not understanding the first and second reading in one night. There should be three full readings of any ordinance to give the public time to review.

Mr. Humpal stated he had respect for the Council and reminded Council that there were many senior citizens in the community that were not always able to attend the meetings.

Mr. Winstanley stated the City of Seaside had three projects that were on the list for Stimulus in Clatsop County and one of the projects was number four on the list and the City was very excited about the project. Currently no City was eligible for the money the state was receiving for the economic stimulus.

**COMMENTS – STUDENT
REPRESENTATIVE**

None

**RECESSED INTO
EXECUTIVE SESSION**

Council recessed into Executive Session at 9:05 PM in accordance with ORS 192.660 (2) (d) regarding Seaside Employee Association Contract Negotiations.

**RECONVENED FROM
EXECUTIVE SESSION**

Council reconvened from Executive Session at 10:03 PM.

COMMENTS – COUNCIL

Councilor Lyons stated the State of Oregon would be one hundred and fifty years old on Valentines Day and Oregon would celebrate in May, 2009.

Mayor Larson stated the Council Goal Setting Session Dinner was scheduled Friday, February 13, 2009, 6:00 pm, at the Shilo.

COMMENTS – STAFF

Mr. Winstanley state the Goal Setting Session was scheduled for Saturday, February 14, 2009, at Providence Seaside Hospital, Education Room 'A'.

ADJOURNMENT

The regular meeting adjourned at 10:13 PM.

Kim Jordan, Secretary

DON LARSON, MAYOR